Restraint and Isolation

Lee Collyer, MSW Director, School Health and Safety Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction



Chris Reykdal, State Superintendent

Crisis Response Workgroup

During the 2022 legislative session, the legislature asked OSPI to convene a workgroup: To identify trauma informed strategies, approaches, and curricula for supporting students in distress and with challenging behaviors that prioritize relational safety.



Crisis Response Workgroup

- (a) One representative from the Department of Children, Youth, and Families with expertise on inclusion, equitable access, trauma informed practices, and relational safety in education settings;
- (b) One representative from an organization representing youth with intellectual and developmental disabilities
- (c) Individuals representing youth with communication disorders, students or young adults who have lived experience with restraint and isolation, and students or adults who are survivors of the school-to-prison pipeline
- (d) One representative from an organization working to eliminate racial inequities in education
- (e) One representative from an organization working to eliminate disparities for families and students with a native language other than English;
- (f) One representative from an organization working to improve inclusive practices in Washington that works with families and communities;
- (g) One member of an organization representing youth in foster care;
- (h) One member of an organization representing youth experiencing homelessness; and
- (i) An administrator, teacher, and paraeducator professional with experience working in or around a self-contained behavior program.



What was the process for developing the recommendations for the report?

- National academic literature review
- Federal and state policy review
- Evidence-based practices scoping review—all conducted by consultants who are experts in the field; data shared with committee
- Twice per month workgroup meetings September December 2022



What was the process for developing the recommendations for the report?

- Guest presentations including WA schools/districts that have reduced R&I, other experts in the field:
 - Spokane Public Schools, Evergreen Public Schools
 - Cheyenne Rhodes American School for the Deaf
 - Jennifer Freeman, University of Connecticut
 - Catherine Lhamon, Assistant Secretary, US Dept of Education, Office of Civil Rights
 - Guy Stephens, Alliance Against Seclusion and Restraint
- Workgroup reviewed data and recommendations in small groups, then reported back to large group for additional discussion
- Draft legislative report was reviewed and commented on by all workgroup members.
 - Report was updated per comments and submitted to OSPI for final approval Washington Office of Superintendent of PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

What was the process of selecting the recommending crisis response programs?

- Review of Washington school districts and programs currently used
- Review of programs available nationally 23 programs reviewed
 - Criteria used to evaluate:
 - Describes potential legal issues surrounding physical restraint
 - Establishes a commitment to reduce physical restraint
 - Training in identification and reporting of abuse and neglect in school setting
 - Team-based approach
 - ABA PBIS foundation
 - ID warning signs of crisis
 - Emphasize prevention of crisis events overall



Recommendations

Eliminate Isolation and Chemical Restraint from Schools

The workgroup determined that isolation should be immediately prohibited in public schools in Washington. The use of isolation is detrimental to students. The workgroup has determined the use of chemical restraint is unnecessary in public schools within Washington and should be prohibited.

Improve Access to Proactive and Effective Mental Health Supports and Trauma-Informed Behavior Supports

The workgroup found that support and funding for sustained training and coaching should be provided to expand the implementation of the <u>Washington Multi-Tiered System of</u> <u>Support Framework</u> focused on improving social, emotional, behavioral and mental health supports along with overall school and classroom climate.



Recommendations

Increase Educator Training of De-escalation Practices

To eliminate isolation and reduce the need for restraint, the workgroup found that ongoing educator training of crisis prevention and de-escalation practices is necessary. These practices include crisis intervention programs, de-escalation training, and evidence-based practices shown to proactively reduce physical restraint and eliminate isolation.

Improve Data Collection and Reporting

The workgroup recommends changes to the current data collection and reporting system regarding restraint, including requiring all public schools, comprehensive educational programs serving public school students, and nonpublic agencies in the state to collect and report restraint data to OPSI.



House Bill 1479 and Senate Bill 5559 would ban the use of isolation, chemical and mechanical restraint for all students in Washington's K12 system, was lifted by OSPI and introduced in January 2023.



What SHB 1479 Does

- Clarifies the definitions of isolation and restraint.
- Protects the continued use of quiet spaces, calm-down rooms, and physically escorting a student.
- Improves reporting requirements to increase oversight, target where resources are needed, and reduce incidences.
- Preserves the use of physical restraint in instances of imminent likelihood of serious harm.
- Increases technical assistance, monitoring, and support for Local Education Agencies.



What SHB 1479 Does

- Ends the use of mechanical and chemical restraint by school personnel.
- Provides professional development on de-escalation strategies and proven alternatives to restraint and isolation for paraeducators and educators in self-contained classrooms, and administrators.
- Improves School Board knowledge and oversight of the use of restraint and isolation in their schools.
- Ends the use of isolation rooms by August 1, 2025.



The Six Core Strategies

Developed by National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors these strategies were developed through extensive literature reviews and dialogues with experts who have successfully reduced use in a variety of settings for children and youth across the United States and internationally.

https://www.nasmhpd.org/sites/default/files/Consolidated%20Six% 20Core%20Strategies%20Document.pdf



The Six Core Strategies (1)

 Leadership Towards Organizational Change - emphasizing that efforts to create a violence free environment are most successful when facility executives provide guidance, direction, participation and ongoing review of the project, beginning with assuring that the facility's mission, philosophy of care and guiding values are congruent with this initiative.





The Six Core Strategies (2)

Using Data to Inform Practice - monitoring performance and sharing data.

The use of restraint or isolation, particularly when there is **repeated use** for an individual student, multiple uses within the same classroom, or multiple uses by the same individual, **should trigger a review** and, if appropriate, revision of strategies currently in place to address dangerous behavior; if positive behavioral strategies are not in place, staff should develop them



The Six Core Strategies (3)

• Workforce Development -

reshaping hiring, training and job performance practices to promote trauma informed, recovery-oriented, noncoercive care.





The Six Core Strategies (4)

• Use of isolation/Restraint Reduction Tools including trauma assessment, primary prevention and deescalation strategies, and calming environments.





Effective Research Supported Alternatives to Restraint and Isolation

1. Curriculum-Based Strategies

- School-wide social emotional learning (SEL) curriculum
- Predictable scheduling
- Increased choice making
- Teaching FBA guided skills

2. Behavior Support Strategies

School-wide PBIS implemented with fidelity across intervention tiers and for ALL students.

3. Relaxation-Based Strategies

• Creating calming areas in the classroom and in the school. Multi-sensory deescalation spaces

4. Conflict De-escalation strategies

- Life Space Interviewing
- Motivational Interviewing
- Conflict cycle/crisis management training for all staff.

2015, Reducing the Use of isolation and Restraint in Schools, Joseph B. Ryan, Ph.D., Clemson

University.



The Six Core Strategies (5)

 Student and Family **Input**- providing full and formal inclusion of students and family members in a variety of decisionmaking roles in the organization.





The Six Core Strategies (6)

The "What's Up" Intervention Guide

Student:	Date:	Staff
		nderstanding "what's up?" Explore who, what, where,
The problem is/ was:		
Phase 2: Define the	Problem – Identify Adult Con	icern and Child's Concern
Adult Concern:		
Child's Concern:		
	and mutually satisfying.	ns, "I wonder if there's a way Do you have
	PROVIDE 3 POSSIBLE SOLUT	
Possible Solution 1:	Possible Solution 2:	Possible Solution 3:
LIST THE EFFE	TTS OF EACH SOLUTION FC)R CHILD & OTHERS
Effects of Solution 1:	Effects of Solution 2:	Effects of Solution 3:
	THE BEST SOLUTION	
List the solution from	n above that results in the best	t outcomes for all involved.
After thinking about the effects, I h		
· · ·		n solution. Will you need help?
To reach my solution, this is what l	would do:	

Debriefing Strategies - analyzing

restraint/isolation events to mitigate further trauma and to gain knowledge that informs policy, procedures and practices.



Who is being restrained and isolated?

- Elementary students (PK-5)
- Students with disabilities
- Low income students
- Male students
- Students who identify as Black/African American and students who identify as two or more races.



Myth: Restraint and isolation keeps students who are out of control safe, as well as others.

Restraint and isolation can escalate a student's agitation. Physical restraint or isolation can cause students to act more aggressively, increasing the possibility for harm to themselves, teachers, and others. Restraint should only be used when all other attempts to address behavior have failed.



Myth: Restraint and isolation are therapeutic interventions that can improve behavior

No evidence-based research has demonstrated restraints or isolation are therapeutically effective. However, research has demonstrated that restraint and isolation can be physically and psychologically harmful.



Myth: There are no alternatives to restraint and isolation.

There are numerous alternatives to restraint and isolation, including positive behavioral interventions and supports and other de-escalation techniques.



Myth: Property damage needs to be prevented.

No child's safety is as important as protecting property. Protection of property has lead to violent restraints in response to offenses as simple as a student breaking a pencil in an act of defiance.







k12.wa.us



twitter.com/waospi



medium.com/waospi



facebook.com/waospi



youtube.com/waospi



linkedin.com/company/waospi

Except where otherwise noted, this work by the <u>Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction</u> is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>.

This presentation may contain or reference links to websites operated by third parties. These links are provided for your convenience only and do not constitute or imply any affiliation, endorsement, sponsorship, approval, verification, or monitoring by OSPI of any product, service or content offered on the third party websites. In no event will OSPI be responsible for the information or content in linked third party websites or for your use or inability to use such websites. Please confirm the license status of any third-party resources and understand their terms of use before reusing them.



