Washington State Special Education Advisory Council

October 12, 2016

Members Present: Andres Aguirre, Roz Bethmann, Sarah Butcher, Shari Cotes, Lou Oma Durand, Cheryl Fernandez, Sherry Krainick, Jennifer Lee, Kimberly Leger, Rebecca Lockhart, Ann Waybright

Excused Absences: Megan Bale, Carrie Fannin, Darci Ladwig

OSPI Staff: Val Arnold, Bev Gunkel (notetaker)

Topic	Discussion	Action	Who/When	Completed
Site visit to Chief Kitsap	Principal Lucy Dafoe coordinated a tour of Chief Kitsap Academy.	Handout provided		
Academy	Chief Kitsap Academy is a State – Tribal Education Compact School. It			
(Attachment 1)	is operated according to the terms of a state-tribal education compact.			
	SEAC members had the opportunity to observe, and interact with			
	students, educators, and administrators. The SEAC members also were			
	afforded the opportunity to participate in a student panel. The students			
	shared how proud they are with the headway they have made in their			
	education. The welcome and farewell performances were beautifully			
	executed by a Chief Kitsap Academy student dance troupe.			
Called to Order,	Ann Waybright, Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:45. SEAC			
introductions, agenda	members introduced themselves and reviewed the two-day agenda.			
Debrief site visit	The committee was very impressed with the student presentations and			
	the all-encompassing organization of Chief Kitsap Academy. Having			
	smaller class size is very important to the success of the students. The			
	school goes above and beyond helping their students thrive. The things			
	that played into the success of the school were the size of classes, the			
	dedication of the staff to the students, the variety of classes and the			
	accountability. The students on the panel during the visit were			
	perceived as "overcomers".			
Review and approve May	After a couple of minor changes in the May minutes (last names being	May 2016 minutes		
minutes. Reviewed	added to all names within the minutes), a motion was made and	were approved		
group norms	seconded to approve and accept the May minutes. Reviewed group			
	norms.			

LUNCH –	Val Arnold shared the four primary metrics of the Consistency Index	Handouts provided	
Consistency Index – Val	Initiative. These are: Evaluation, IEP, Services, and Overall Index-		
Arnold	Student Outcomes. The greater the consistency between these elements,		
(Attachment 4)	the greater the likelihood that coordinated and intentional instructional		
eLearning Project –	efforts will positively influence student outcomes. To ensure the		
Carole Brewer & Elaine	fidelity of implementation, a set of diagnostic tools and scripted		
Kurlinski	protocols have been developed to systematically collect information		
(Attachment 5)	needed to measure congruency between the student's sufficient		
SiMR Program	evaluation, his/her properly formulated IEP, and the provision of		
Update/WaKids – Sandy	individualized and specially designed instruction.		
Grummick			
(Attachment 3 and 6)	Carole Brewer and Elaine Kurlinski presented on the eLearning Project,		
	an OSPI Special Education State Needs Project. Their presentation		
	highlighted other state needs projects and was an overview of eLearning		
	for Educators Project. They discussed their services, the course content,		
	delivery format, and requirements. They mentioned what is offered		
	now, and who's taking the courses along with feedback from the users.		
	The eLearning for Educators project provides statewide access to		
	affordable online courses designed to support educators in serving		
	students with disabilities. The courses are open to anyone who would		
	like to take them. They gave a brief preview of the new course "Special		
	Education Consistency Index" that was expected to be launched shortly		
	after the SEAC meeting.		
	Sandy Grummick presented an overview of the Baseline Data.		
	Information was given about the targets begin set for FFY 2013 through		
	FFY 2018. Performance data from FFY 2012 was utilized to develop		
	the targets set in the FFY 2013 SPP. Targets not to be less rigorous		
	than the FFY 2012 Performance Data. Targets were set for indicators:		
	B2, B4A, B5, B6, B7, B8, B14, B15, and B16.		
	The Revised State Performance Plan, Appendix and Data Tables,		
	Annual Performance Report, District Data Profile for FFY 2014, and the		
	Annual state Grant Application for fiscal year 2014 are found at		
	http://www.k12.wa.us/SpecialEd/Data/SPP-APR/2014.aspx		

Student Growth	Deb Came gave a presentation on Student Growth Percentiles (SGPs).	Handouts provided	
Percentiles – Deb Came	SGPs calculate a student's individual growth by comparing that student	Trandouts provided	
(Attachment 7)	to other students with similar prior test scores. In other words, they take		
(Matternation 1)	account of where student starts and use assessment results for all		
	Washington students in a given content area and grade to quantify each		
	student's annual progress. Deb conveyed that SGPs are calculated		
	using a statistical method called quantile regression which describes the		
	relationship between students' previous scores and their current year's		
	scores.		
	OSPI adopted this growth model in 2011. OSPI – Assessment and		
	Student Information provide SGP data to districts and the public every		
	year to provide additional information about students.		
	OSPI uses median growth percentiles to summarize SGPs by district,		
	school, grade level, or other grouping of interest.		
	The median is calculated by ordering individual student growth		
	percentiles from lowest to highest, and identifying the middle score (the		
	median).		
	The SGP data and charts are found at this location:		
	http://www.k12.wa.us/assessment/sgp/datacharts.aspx		
Accountability	Sarah Butcher presented on the Accountability Workgroup		
Workgroup	Recommendations with respect to Washington's implementation plan		
Recommendations –	for the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). The Accountability		
Sarah Butcher	Workgroup recommendations were submitted to the Consolidated plan		
	team. Many of the recommendations were to have the Achievement and		
	Accountability Workgroup, which is convened by the State Board of		
	Education, pick up the continuation of the work due to time		
	constraints. The recommendations for the Student Success Indicator		
	were not fully adopted by the Consolidated Plan Team, so all		
	recommendations were ranked and went to the Superintendent for his		
	final decision. Unfortunately, the recommendations from the Students		
	with Disabilities workgroup were not shared with the Accountability		
	workgroup before they made their final decisions, but the Consolidated		
	Plan Team had some awareness of those recommendations before they		
	voted. All recommendations will be compiled into the final draft plan		
	which will be shared with the public for feedback.		

	It should be noted the public comment period for this plan has been extended for an additional 60 days.		
Public Comment	No public comments		
Adjourned	4:30		