Washington State Special Education Advisory Council (SEAC)

Date: February 7, 2018

Members Present: Sam Blazina, Marta Bloomquist, Jeff Brown, Sarah Butcher, Jen Cole, Gail Coulter, Lou Oma Durand, Carrie Fannin, Darya Farivar, Rob Hines, Tammie Jensen-Tabor, Dominic Jimenez, Sherry Krainick, Laura Lindley, Sean McCormick, Linda Seferian, Laurie Thomas, Vanessa Tucker

Excused Absences: Shawnta DiFalco, Jennifer Lee, Kim Leger, Michele Smith

OSPI Staff: Glenna Gallo, Bev Mitchell, Mary Ellen Parrish

Note Taker: Beverly Mitchell

Торіс	Discussion	Action	Who/When	When
Called to Order (Carrie	Introduction of new members			
Fannin)	Introduction of members			
Information on recycling	Darya Farivar gave direction on being			
(Darya Farivar)	responsible with the waste at the meeting.			
Went over Group Norms	Read the group norms and reviewed purpose			
and reviewed Purpose of	of SEAC and areas of consideration for 2017-			
SEAC and areas of	18			
consideration for 2017-18	Lou Oma Durand offered the idea of a			
(Executive Team)	microphone system. Jeff Brown and Lou Oma			
	Durand offered to get a loaner system.			
Meeting minutes October	Accepted with no changes.			
11-12, 2017				
SEAC brochure	Draft form of brochure was reviewed.	SEAC meetings link should be on	When those edits are	3/30/18
		brochure. Accommodations should	made, executive	
		be on the brochure. Translate	team to finalize	
		brochure.	brochure.	
By-laws Revisions	Positive discussion about the revisions.	By-laws formally accepted as		
	Discussed portion of the by-laws regarding	revised.		
	visiting legislators during session.			

Торіс	Discussion	Action	Who/When	When
SEAC membership	Juvenile justice and foster care vacancies.	Refer person to SEAC website for		
vacancies and needs		application.		
Review Draft Significant	Background & federal update;	SEAC recommendation for	SEAC	2/7/18
Disproportionality	Recommendations regarding state flexibility	Revising Significant		
Calculation and Threshold	options;	Disproportionality Regulations		
(Val Arnold & Sandy	Data review based on recommendations;			
Grummick, OSPI)	Review of policies and procedures/potential	SEAC supports the		
	revisions	recommendations as listed from		
		stakeholder input process with the		
		addition of requesting preemptive		
		guidance to districts on:		
		 how the change will impact 		
		them		
		 best practices and 		
		technical assistance on		
		how to reduce		
		disproportionality		
		We also request annual reports		
		back to SEAC with a		
		reconsideration of a recalculation		
		within 3 years.		
Review Draft change in	Background for Basic L2 – a variance in	SEAC recommendation for	SEAC	2/7/18
Individual Student	performance reporting for a portion of	Basic/L2: Grades 3-8		, , -
Assessment report for	students receiving special education services			
grades 3-8 in 2018-2019	Reflect on intentions/purpose	SEAC supports the discontinuation		
(Mike Middleton & Lucas		of using the Basic/L2 scoring for		
Snider, OSPI)	Look to the future	Grades 3-8		
Review Draft WAC	<u>392-400</u> Revising student discipline rules;	Start with framework about SEAC's		
revisions and legislative		response – discussion on second		
proposals by OSPI, such as	<u>391-172A-02075</u> Special Education: expand	day of meeting.		
WAC 392-172A (Glenna	current requirement to include prescription			
Gallo, OSPI)	drugs that are not covered under the			

Торіс	Discussion	Action	Who/When	When
	controlled substance act (21 U.S.C. Sec			
	812(c))			
	Legislation impacting SWD as of 2/2/18			
Review Federal fiscal year	Presented information and data regarding			
2016 annual performance	performance indicators. These performance			
report results (Glenna	indicators are to ensure the work leads			
Gallo, OSPI)	directly to student success. OSPI			
	Performance Indicators – Data and Analytics			
	ESSA improvement plant identifying schools			
	ESSA improvement plan: Identifying schools that need improvement based on these data			
	in APR.			
	Things that need to change:			
	1. Leadership and Ownership of			
	students with disabilities by everyone.			
	2. Growth mindset and increased			
	expectations around what special			
	education students can do.			
	3. MTSS with special education services			
	in addition not replacing.			
	4. Common professional development			
	for all – including parents.			
	5. Resources – doing something			
	differently with what we have.			
	6. Teacher recruitment and retention.			
	We need to target all six of these items not			
	just one.			
Presentations regarding	PAVE – A parent organization providing			
partner agencies SEAC	support, training, and informational			
members:	resources to empower families and			
Partnerships for Action,	individuals with disabilities.			
Voices for Empowerment				
(PAVE) (Jen Cole)	<u>DEL</u> –			

Торіс	Discussion	Action	Who/When	When
Department of Early Learning (DEL) (Laurie Thomas) Division of Vocational Rehabilitation (DVR) (Rob Hines)	 Children enter school healthy, capable, and confident. Washington families are able to support healthy child development. Early learning professionals have the skills and resources to offer high- quality programs. Work is done with public and private partners around the state, based on the state's 10-year Early Learning Plan. DVR – Integral part of a statewide workforce development system. Students with disabilities Pre-employment transition services Individuals with Disabilities Vocational Rehabilitation Services 			
WA Due Process Hearing Decisions to date in 2017,	Activities Summary given to members.			
2018 (Glenna Gallo)				
LUNCH Safety Net Legislative	State special education funding – Program 21	Safety Net Workgroup on which SEAC has a presence.	SEAC	
Workgroup	Federal special education funding – Program			
Recommendations (Mary	24	Consider – removal of the language		
Ellen Parrish)	Safaty Not Logislative Markgroup	"properly formulated IEP" in the		
Overview of State and Federal Special Education	Safety Net Legislative Workgroup Recommendations – Engrossed House Bill	application of the Safety Net. Feedback on the language.		
Funding (Mary Ellen	2242, Sec. 408 (2017) directed the Office of			
Parrish)	the Superintendent of Public Instruction			

Торіс	Discussion	Action	Who/When	When
Review of McCleary financial impact on State Special Education funds to school districts (John Bash, ESD 113; Lisa Mathews, ESD 189)	 (OSPI) to review the current Safety Net process and make recommendations of possible adjustments to improve the Safety net process and to evaluate the appropriate funding level to meet the purpose of Safety Net. Unpacking EHD 2242 – Special Education & Related Impacts Increases the maximum funded student percent from 12.7% to 13.5% Statewide average sped rates are \$6,995.56 for 2017-18, and \$7,988.17 for 2018-19. No changes to sped multipliers of .9309 or 1.15, nor the federal funds integration rate. Legislative Updates Several legislators have acknowledged the need for more special education funding. Outside of Special Education not much optimism that more state money will be allocated to K-12. Instead, more likely to see some form 			
Review unfinished	of local levy and LEA relief.			
business resulting from required activities under WAC 392-172A-07060				
Public Comment (Parameters read regarding SEAC parameters of public comment)	Student with disabilities brought concerns regarding the need for general education teachers to be more involved with IEP and accommodations.			

Торіс	Discussion	Action	Who/When	When
Summary of Actions				
Meeting evaluation				
Adjourned at 5:10p			Carrie Fannin	