Certificated Teacher Focused Summative Scoring Document Criterion 6: UW CEL 5D+

The purpose of this document is to capture the progress over the course of the year and to facilitate a growth-focused conversation.

| Teacher: | Grade Level(s): | Date: | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|
| Evaluator: Comprehensive | District/School: _ | School Year: | |
| Evaluation Score*: | From School Year: | | |

*The final focus summative rating will be the same as the Comprehensive score unless it is a 3 and evidence during this evaluation period indicates a level 4 practice.

Criterion 6: Using multiple student data elements to modify instruction and improve student learning

| | Unsatisfactory | Basic | Proficient | Distinguished |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| A1: Student self- assessment | Teacher does not provide an opportunity for students to assess their own learning in relation to the success criteria for the learning target(s) | Teacher provides an opportunity for students to assess their own learning in relation to the success criteria for the learning target(s) in ways that may not deepen student understanding of progress toward the target(s). | Teacher provides an opportunity for students to assess their own learning in relation to the success criteria for the learning target(s) in ways that deepen student understanding of progress toward the target(s). | Teacher provides an opportunity for students to assess their own learning in relation to the success criteria for the learning target(s) in ways that deepen student understanding of progress toward the target(s). Students use success criteria for |

| | Unsatisfactory | Basic | Proficient | Distinguished |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | improvement. |
| A2: Student use of formative assessments over time | Students do not use formative assessments to assess their own learning. | Students use formative assessments at least two to three times per year/course to assess their own learning, determine learning goals, and monitor progress over time. | Students use formative assessments at least two to three times per year/course and use formative assessments within a unit or two to assess their own learning, determine learning goals, and monitor progress over time. | Students use formative assessments at least two to three times per year/course and use formative assessments within each unit to assess their own learning, determine learning goals, and monitor progress over time. |
| A3: Quality of formative assessment methods | Assessment tasks are not aligned with the learning target(s). | Assessment tasks allow students to demonstrate learning. The quality of the assessment methods provides no information about student thinking and needs. | Assessment tasks allow students to demonstrate learning. The quality of the assessment methods provides limited information about student thinking and needs. | Assessment tasks allow students to demonstrate learning. The quality of the assessment methods provides comprehensive information about student thinking and needs. |
| A5: Collection systems for formative assessment data | Teacher does not have routines for recording formative assessment data. | Teacher has an observable system and routines for recording formative assessment data but does not use the system to inform instructional practice. | Teacher has an observable system and routines for recording formative assessment data and periodically uses the system to inform instructional practice. | Teacher has an observable system and routines for recording formative assessment data and uses the system to inform day-to-day instructional practice. |

| | Unsatisfactory | Basic | Proficient | Distinguished |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 6.1: Establish Student Growth | The teacher does not develop a student growth goal. | The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class. | The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class. | The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class. |
| Goal(s) | OR The teacher does not explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal. The goal does not address an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal does not require students' cognitive or emotional engagement. | The teacher uses a single data element to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement . | The teacher uses multiple data elements to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement . | The teacher uses multiple data elements to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement . The teacher explains to supervisor how the goal yields opportunities for leadership or for collaboration with colleagues to advance their own or their collective professional learning. |
| 6.2: Achievement of Student Growth Goal(s) | The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal from only summative assessments. | The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments . | The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments. | The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments . |

| Unsatisfactory | Basic | Proficient | Distinguished |
|--|--|---|--|
| There is no evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress. There is no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning. The teacher does not provide evidence of taking responsibility for student learning progress. The teacher does not identify the next steps for instruction OR the next steps for instruction about students' progress. | There is limited evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress. There is limited or no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning . The teacher reflects with supervisor on student learning progress generally. The reflection may be missing an analysis of why students did or did not make progress, and/or next steps for groups of students or individuals. The teacher's identified next steps for instruction are uninformed by student progress and students' experience of learning. | There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress. There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning. The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for three groups of students: Students who exceeded the learning goal met or nearly met the learning goal did not yet meet the learning goal The reflection includes analysis of why students did or did not make progress, and next steps for each group. The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to guide their next steps for instruction. | There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress. There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning . The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for three groups of students: Students who exceeded the learning goal met or nearly met the learning goal did not yet meet the learning goal The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to: Guide their next steps for instruction, and Effect changes in instructional practice or professional learning beyond their own classroom or context. |

| Reflective Questions: |
|---|
| As we look toward next year, do you feel that your practice would be enhanced by continuing with this criterion? Or, might it be time to |
| consider further developing your skills with a different criterion? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Given your response to the previous question, what supports can I, as your evaluator, provide for you to maximize your growth next year? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Both signatures required. Signing of this instrument acknowledges participation in but not necessarily concurrence with the evaluation. (Attach |
| teacher comments if desired.) |

Evaluator Signature: _____ Date: _____

| Employee Signature: | | Date: | |
|---------------------|--|-------|--|
|---------------------|--|-------|--|