

Transition to Kindergarten

THE TRANSITION TO formal schooling is a landmark event for millions of children, families, and educators. But research by the National Center for Early Development & Learning (NCEDL) and other investigators indicates that the transition practices commonly used in schools may not be well suited to the needs of children and families.

What are the issues?

There are several reasons why the transition to kindergarten and the early grades of school is important.

First, the early elementary years, particularly kindergarten, are important in establishing competencies critical to children's school success and achievement. These competencies involve child social and academic skills as well as parents' involvement in the education of their children.

Second, early childhood learning environments are quite different from traditional elementary school classroom settings. Early childhood settings provide support for both children and families, recognizing the very clear developmental differences between preschool-age children and school-age children. The entrance to kindergarten is a point of considerable change and transition for families, children, and staff. It is precisely these differences that transition practices attempt to bridge and overcome.

Third, increasingly large amounts of public funds are now dedicated to educating young children with the intent of

boosting their chances for success in elementary school and beyond. It is imperative to understand and shape the conditions under which public funds can be best used.

In addition, children's experiences prior to kindergarten are different now than they were a generation ago. Kindergarten classes are larger and children have to deal with more children and more adults than in pre-school. There is increasing emphasis on formal instruction and the acquisition of skills. Maturity demands are greater, such as sitting still for longer periods of time and self-control and attention. Children usually ride the bus without parents and with bigger children for the first time. More children now attend pre-school or are enrolled in non-familial care settings that give them experiences with peers and classroom-like environments.

How do US schools support the transition to kindergarten?

A national survey of nearly 3,600 kindergarten teachers in late 1996 by NCEDL confirmed what had been learned in a more limited survey in 1992. Of the 23 transition practices listed on the survey, the majority of teachers reported using practices such as:

- ☞ sending a letter to parents after the beginning of school.
- ☞ holding an open house after school starts.
- ☞ sending a brochure home after school starts.

The least commonly reported practices included:


(see SUPPORT page 2)



How should we think about transition?

THE FIRST NATIONAL Educational Goal, "by the Year 2000 all children will start school ready to learn," emphasizes not only child-related skills that promote school success, but also the importance of family, school, and community-level factors (e.g., access to high-quality preschools) that support the development of children's competencies. School readiness is not a property of a child (View 1 in the figure at right) but is a product of interactions among key settings in which the child participates (View 2).

In our model to the right, the transition to school for a (see *TRANSITION* page 3)



SUPPORT

- ☞ calling the child before or after school starts.
- ☞ visiting the child's home or preschool program(s).

These results paint a very clear picture of the typical transition experience for children and families. They are most likely to receive a form letter telling them when schools starts, what to bring, and that open house is scheduled for some time near the end of September. They are least likely to get a personal call or personalized information before school starts.

The most commonly used transition practices by the nations' kindergarten teachers and elementary schools, when contrasted with the policies and practices recommended by "*Ready Schools*," published by the National Education Goals Panel (1997), can be characterized as low intensity, group-oriented practices that do little to involve families and build partnerships prior to entering school. In short, schools do too little too late to connect with children and families.

Importantly for policymakers, we also asked these teachers to identify barriers to what they thought would be better or more useful transition practices than the ones they currently employed. Teachers identified a number of administrative barriers such as receiving class lists too late to contact families before school, absence of a plan for developing transition policy and practices in their district, and a lack of school system support for effective transition. In addition, they noted the lack of funds to support the time they needed in the summer to conduct good transition practices.

NCEDL staff are working closely with teachers and school staff to improve the transition to school in several communities across the country. For example, staff meet with parents and with teachers to discuss classroom expectations. They work to gain the trust of families by home visits and accompany the family on school visits. Staff coordinate meetings between the preschool teacher and elementary school staff

which are reported to be very helpful. Staff take materials from school to home to demonstrate how to use them with the child. In this work we have learned that families and schools strongly value good transition experiences that link them together **before** the child enters school.

When given the opportunity to think about, plan, and implement high-quality transition practices, school staff have been willing and enthusiastic and families have responded well. Partnerships between families and schools can be established even before school starts. In addition, local preschool staff can meet with staff from elementary schools and begin discussions of how to make expectations and learning experiences similar across preschool and kindergarten, thus improving the quality of educational experiences for the child in the classroom setting. These collaborations highlight the importance of policies that support transition planning among all stakeholders.

continued from page 1


given child is not just a matter of that child getting on the bus and going to school on the first day. A serious problem in nearly all policies and practices related to the transition to school has been the sole focus on children's skills (e.g., readiness) instead of a broader view that encompasses the settings that influence those skills. Transition policies and practices that focus solely on children's skills most often result in undue attention to the assessment of readiness.

There are two problems with approaching school transition as a function of a child's skills and abilities. First, a child's skills and abilities are very limited predictors of early school success. Understanding how well a child will adapt to kindergarten requires attention to factors beyond just characteristics of children.

Second, the transition period involves not only how children adjust to kindergarten but also how families and schools interact and cooperate. It is not just the child who makes a transition. Families are

also involved in the transition, and to best use family resources for a child's education requires attention to how schools and families can form partnerships during this transition period.

Good policy and good practice have to build on a solid conceptual foundation that recognizes that young children's success in school is intertwined with their experiences in multiple settings: family, peer group, preschool, and school and that this transition period is a critical time for building partnerships between schools and families that can support children's progress.



What policy changes are needed?

- ☞ **Strengthen bonds between preschools and elementary schools.** Policies are needed that foster communication between preschools and elementary schools. Important topics for discussion include consistency in expectations and curriculum across programs. Such policies would greatly reduce the large shifts that occur in classroom quality, experiences and expectations for children between preschool and elementary school.
- ☞ **Require transition planning teams in localities.** Such policies would mandate the formation of transition planning teams in localities involving school personnel, preschool staff, families, agency workers and community leaders. This collaboration would focus on better informing the public about preschool and early school opportunities for children, enhancing learning experiences for young children, and the development and implementation of transition practices that smooth the shifts between preschool, home, and school.
- ☞ **Strengthen bonds between families and schools.** Require teacher-training in building partnerships with families, especially those from diverse ethnic and linguistic backgrounds. These and other professional development programs must be based on adult learning principles and the best available research about child development and learning.
- ☞ **Provide high quality kindergarten classroom experiences for children.** Because all children enter formal schooling in kindergarten, policies must be developed and enacted that insure that kindergarten programs are among the highest quality programs in a school. These policies should focus on lowering student-staff ratios, strengthening relationships between teachers and children, and teachers and parents, ensuring productive use of time in order to nurture children's learning, and providing young children with the opportunity to be taught by well-educated and well-trained staff.

What do we need to study?

- ☞ **Localities that provide high quality transition practices in their communities.** Such a large-scale study would document the policies and procedures used in transition planning and implementation. This "best practices in transition" study would provide a rich set of descriptions that can give rise to core principles to guide transition planning and implementation across the country in the years to come.

(see *STUDY* page 4)

- ☞ **How kindergarten classroom environments contribute to the quality of transitions for children.** Such a study could greatly enhance our knowledge about classroom practices that support successful transition into the critically important early years of elementary school.
- ☞ **Survey kindergarten teachers and teachers-in-training.** This would help to better understand their knowledge and experience in transition planning, and results would provide much-needed information for teacher development, both inservice and preservice programs.

References

- Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes Study Team. (1995). *Cost, quality, and child outcomes in child care centers public report*. Denver: Economics Department, University of Colorado-Denver.
- Early, D., Pianta, R. C., & Cox, M. J. (1999). Kindergarten teachers and classrooms: A transition context. *Early Education and Development, 10*(1), 25-46.
- Love, J. M., Logue, M. E., Trudeau, J. V., & Thayer, K. (1992). *Transitions to kindergarten in American schools* (Contract No. LC 88089001). Portsmouth, NH: US Department of Education.
- 4 National Education Goals Panel. (1997). *The National Education Goals report: Building a nation of learners*. Washington, DC: National Education Goals Panel.
- National Education Goals Panel. (1998). *Ready schools*. Washington, DC: National Education Goals Panel.
- Pianta, R. C., & Cox, M. J. (1999). *The transition to kindergarten*. Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes.
- Pianta, R. C., Cox, M. J., Early, D., & Taylor, L. (1999). Kindergarten teachers' practices related to the transition to school: Results of a national survey. *Elementary School Journal, 100*(1), 71-86.
- Pianta, R. C., & Walsh, D. J. (1996). *High-Risk children in schools: Constructing sustaining relationships*. New York: Routledge.
- Ramey, S. L., & Ramey, C. T. (1999). The transition to school for "at-risk" children. In R. C. Pianta & M. J. Cox, (Eds.), *The transition to kindergarten*, (pp. 217-251). Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes.


Web sites of interest

National Center for Early Development & Learning: www.ncedl.org
 National Institute on Early Childhood Development and Education: www.ed.gov/offices/OERI/ECI/

This brief is in the public domain and may be reprinted without cost. For more information or additional copies, contact:

FPG Publications Office
 CB# 8185
 Chapel Hill, NC 27599-8185
 Phone (919) 966-4221
 Email <pubs@mail.fpg.unc.edu>

The primary authors of this brief are Robert Pianta of the University of Virginia and Martha Cox of UNC-CH. In addition to UNC-CH and the University of Virginia, the other NCEDL partner is the University of California at Los Angeles. *Early Childhood Research and Policy Briefs* are produced by the National Center for Early Development & Learning, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, under the Educational Research and Development Centers Program, PR/Award # R307A60004, as administered by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, US Department of Education. However, the contents do not necessarily represent the position of the National Institute on Early Childhood Development and Education www.ed.gov/offices/OERI/ECI/, the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, or the US Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the federal government.



No. 1A • January 1999

Transition Practices

Excerpts from a paper accepted for publication by the *Elementary School Journal*. The paper, "Kindergarten Teachers' Practices Related to Transition to School: Results of a National Survey," is based on a sample of 3,595 kindergarten teachers. Teachers were asked about their use of 21 practices related to the transition of children into kindergarten in the 1996-'97 academic year and 15 barriers to implementing transition practices. This is a sister *Spotlight* to *Spotlight #1*, "Kindergarten Transitions," July 1998, also based on our national survey.

Teacher Practices and Transitions to School

OUR NATIONAL SURVEY of kindergarten teachers reveals several key points. First, use of some form of practice to help children make the transition to kindergarten is nearly universal; 95% of the nation's kindergarten teachers endorsed the most frequently reported practice—talking with the child's parent after school starts.

Second, although use of some form of transition practice is widespread, practices that involve school personnel having direct contacts with children or families are the least frequently reported, as are practices that involve contact with children or families before the start of school. The most frequently endorsed practices are those that take place after the start of school and/or involve low-intensity generic contact (e.g., flyers, brochures, group open houses).

Third, as schools (or districts) become increasingly urban and have higher percentages of minority and/or low-SES students, personal contacts with children and families before the start of school become less frequent (except for home visits) and low intensity, after-the-start-of-school contacts (such as flyers) are more common. Thus, children and families who may need the best form of transition practices are least likely to receive them.

Fourth, teachers identify a number of barriers to implementing practices related to the transition to school. The most common are that class lists are generated too late to make contacts with children and families before school starts, summer work is not supported, and a plan for the transition to kindergarten is not available in the school district. Teachers' perceptions that family characteristics are barriers occur with increasing frequency as schools become more urban, have a higher minority population, or are located in high-poverty districts.


"Ready schools" movement

By and large the endorsed practices do not conform to standards or principles that reflect the "ready schools" movement such as "Ready schools smooth the transition between home and school," or "Ready schools strive for continuity between early care and education programs and elementary schools" (National Education Goals Panel, 1998).

The practices teachers endorse most frequently do not reflect an emphasis on establishing connections, before school starts, among home, school, child care, and other contexts nor do they support continuity in the child's experience and promoting positive, supportive relationships among the persons involved in the child's care and education. Instead, they reflect a fairly low-intensity, nonindividualized, and impersonal approach that is unlikely to convey little more than information about what to bring on the first day of school or where to find the

lunchroom. Although such information is important to helping the child and family negotiate the entry into kindergarten, it falls short of helping build the kind of supports for the child that can reduce the risk of school failure.

From the perspective of family-school relationships, the practices used most often in the transition to kindergarten signal a shift, in a negative direction, in the quality, nature, and depth of contact. Parent-teacher contact in elementary school is formalized, school-initiated, and involves a high proportion of information about the child's problems. In contrast, in preschools, parent contact with the school is often parent-initiated and involves family support. **The absence of transition practices and policies that conform to "ready schools" approaches may be a signal that entering school is itself a risk factor for many.**



Transitions in different groups

In urban, poverty, and high-minority areas, the public educational system often has problems capitalizing on families' existing resources and providing additional resources for education and child development. Our group comparisons reveal that the children and families who are likely to benefit most from personalized, proactive, and intense practices aimed at facilitating transition to kindergarten are the least likely to receive such practices. As schools became more urban or had a higher percentage of minority students, or districts had a higher number of families below the poverty lines, rates of implementation for the most intensive transition practices dropped and those for less intensive practices rose.

Implications and considerations

It is not surprising that the transition practices that reach backward in time, outward to families and preschools, and involve direct contacts are also those more teachers view as being difficult to implement.

Yet these barriers are not insurmountable, and in reporting on them teachers reveal potential methods for improving transition practices. For example, noting that class lists are generated too late or that a transition plan is not available suggests strategies for creating a more comprehensive approach to transition. Districts can experiment with ways to generate class lists and conduct kindergarten registration; they can commission kindergarten teachers, parents, and preschool teachers to formulate transition plans; and they can make transition a target for resources. In fact, in our efforts to enhance transition practices, it is precisely these kinds of innovations that have followed from discussions of barriers.

A cluster of barriers reflecting teachers' beliefs about parents and family factors reveals an area requiring considerable attention. In urban, high-poverty, or high-minority settings, kindergarten teachers report increasing concerns about contacting and visiting parents and about parents' abilities to

bring their child to school or read materials sent home regarding transition.

These perceptions suggest an impasse between transition planning and practice. This impasse is not new. Yet it is **precisely** this impasse that is targeted by transition practices that build relationships between home and school early and before concerns arise that create tensions and mistrust between home and school.

Furthermore, the data on barriers across different levels of demographic indicators point again to the need for collaboration among parents, teachers, principals, child care providers, and others in the formation of transition practices that function effectively in a particular setting. In this way, transition practices can be a process by which a community ensures the successful entry to school for its members.

The results of this survey indicate that if the national goal of "ensuring that all children enter school ready to learn" depends in part on "ready schools," then there is considerable work to be done with respect to that aspect of ready schools that ensures "smooth transitions between home and school" and "continuity between child care and school experience."

If you want to know more

- Love, J. M., Logue, M. E., Trudeau, J. V., & Thayer, K. (1992). *Transitions to kindergarten in American schools* (Contract No. LC 88089001). Portsmouth, NH: U. S. Department of Education.
- National Education Goals Panel. (1995). *National education goals report executive summary: Improving education through family-school-community partnerships*. Washington, DC: National Education Goals Panel.
- Pianta, R. C., & McCoy, S. J. (1997). The first day of school: The predictive validity of early school screening. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 18*, 1-22.
- Pianta, R. C., & Walsh, D. J. (1996). *High-risk children in schools: Constructing sustaining relationships*. New York: Routledge.
- Pianta, R.C. & Cox, M.J. (in press). *The transition to kindergarten: Research, policy, training & practice*. Baltimore: Paul Brookes Publishing Co.

The authors of the paper on which this Spotlight is based are Robert C. Pianta of the University of Virginia and Martha J. Cox, Lorriane Taylor, and Diane Early of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. NCEDL is administratively housed at UNC-CH. This project is supported under the Education Research and Development Centers Program, PR/award number R307A60004, as administered by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education. Opinions in these reports do not necessarily represent the positions or policies of the National Institute on Early Childhood Development and Education www.ed.gov/offices/OERI/ECI/, the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, or the U.S. Department of Education. Permission is granted to reprint this; we ask that you attribute the authors of the paper and the National Center for Early Development & Learning.

**For more information, contact Loyd Little at (919) 966-0867 or email loyd_little@unc.edu
Visit our website at www.fpg.unc.edu/~ncedl**