

**STATE OF WASHINGTON  
OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION**

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS  
RFP No. 2018-21  
Addendum 01 – Q&A**

*Note to potential respondents:*

*This addendum is intended to revise, clarify and become part of RFP No. 2018-21 for Graduation Success and Equity Initiative, issued February 26, 2018.*

*All amendments, addendums, and notifications will be posted on the [OSPI website](#) (if this was an open procurement) and released via the Washington Electronic Business Solution ([WEBS](#)) website.*

**QUESTION:** How might the existing resources for the Graduation Success and Equity Initiative support the development and production of the deliverables for this RFP? Are you expecting that the key practices identified in this project will be a subset of those included in the [Graduation Success Resource Packet](#)?

**ANSWER:** We would like to build on the core concepts of the graduation equity work, including information included in the graduation resource packet.

**QUESTION:** If new key practices are to be identified, does this RFP support conducting theory of change and logic modeling work to document how key practices contribute to improved graduation outcomes?

**ANSWER:** A logic model could be developed if it supports buildings and districts to serve students. The existing key practices were identified through a two-year process working with schools with positive student outcomes. Given the timeline of this RFP, the identification of new practices following this methodology will not be feasible.

**QUESTION:** What is the level of interest for OSPI in understanding culturally relevant key practices for graduation success? Using a racial equity lens may provide additional insight into bright spots for graduation success for students of color. Without looking at racial differences in graduation outcomes, key practices may only be useful for a subset of students and may not result in equitable outcomes for all students.

**ANSWER:** OSPI is committed to understanding culturally relevant practices, however given the timeline of this RFP, a comprehensive analysis like the one referenced in the question, following the methodology of the original work, will not be feasible.

**QUESTION:** Are contract extensions mentioned in the RFP meant to address additional bodies of work or to address challenges with completing the existing scope within the RFP timeline?

**ANSWER:** Possible extensions of the contract would be to continue work beyond the existing scope included in the RFP timeline.