

STATE OF WASHINGTON
OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

RFP 2018-03 GYO Tracking, Evaluation and Data System
Addendum 01 Questions & Answers

Note to potential respondents:

All amendments, addendums, and notifications will be posted on the OSPI web site and released via the Washington Electronic Business Solution (WEBS) web site.

1. Can you confirm that there is no incumbent since this is a new project?
If “incumbent” is referring to a company or organization that has been providing services on this project, then the answer is no; this is a new project.

2. The RFP says “The goal, through the design and implementation of a prototype of an Educator Tracker Tool, is to combine the needs of the RWT and GYO Educator projects, in order to track and support individuals and assess program effectiveness. If additional funding is provided and the prototype is deemed successful, future contracting would be sought for any proposed plan to expand beyond the districts initially participating in this project.” If this prototype is deemed successful, when is an estimate timeline of when the future contracting would take place for full implementation of this type of tool?
If the prototype project is deemed successful “and” funding is provided, then future contracting would be sought for any proposed plan to expand beyond the districts initially participating in this project. The project is scheduled to end on or about September 2018 and could be extended through June 2019; future contracting could be available before or after.

3. Whether companies from Outside Canada can apply for this? (like, from India or USA)
All who meet the qualifications can apply; see number 5 Bidder Qualifications, page 8. However, If selected must meet the security requirements defined by the Washington State Office of Chief Information Officer (OCIO) and other requirements outlined in the RFP, such as, page 14, #16 Statewide Vendor Payment Registration; # 17, insurance coverage; and in the General Terms and Conditions, see pages 29-39.

4. Whether we need to come over there for meetings?
See page 6, where it states the , “Contractor will need to collaborate on the creation of the tool with the Workgroup through a periodic schedule of regular meetings (in person, telephone or electronically).” It would be ideal if the contractor met in person with the collaborators. However, it is up to the contractor to provide in their proposal how they best plan on collaborating, working with the team, testing the system, and providing training and the RFP review team will evaluate the response.

5. Can we perform the tasks (related to RFP) outside Canada? (like, from India or USA)
If selected must meet the security requirements defined by the Washington State Office of Chief Information Officer (OCIO) and other requirements outlined in the RFP, such as, page 14, #16 Statewide Vendor Payment Registration; # 17, insurance coverage; and in the General Terms and Conditions, see pages 29-39 .

6. Can we submit the proposals via email?
Answer: Yes, number 4, Submission of Proposals, page 11, “Consultants shall submit proposals as an attachment to an email to the RFP Coordinator noted in Section B.1.