

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE QEC: DISCUSSION DOCUMENT

Rep. Pat Sullivan & Rep. Skip Priest

November 30/December 1, 2009

Quality Education Council

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION #1

2

The 2010 Legislature should adopt a **Baseline** prototypical school funding formula

....for **implementation in 2011**

....at **current levels** of state spending

....based on the **recommendations of the Funding Formula Working Group**, but with a more simplified set of funding factors.

The Baseline factors should be adopted **in statute**.

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION #2

3

The 2010 Legislature should amend ESHB 2261 to:

- Start the work of the **Compensation Working Group** in May 2010, with a report due in December 2011
 - Change the lead staff agency to OSPI
- Start the work of the **Local Finance Working Group** in May 2010, with a report due in December 2010
 - Add the task of examining local capacity to address facility needs associated with full-day K and K-3 class size recommendations
- Continue the **Funding Formula Working Group** to monitor early implementation of the prototypical school formula and provide technical advise to the QEC and OSPI

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS #3 & 4

4

The 2010 Legislature should amend ESHB 2261 to implement the **new Pupil Transportation Funding Formula in September 2011**, not 2013.

Estimated Costs

\$806,000 in 2010 supplemental for GIS system design and analyst

The Legislature should **phase-in** full funding of the new Pupil Transportation formula **over a 3-year period** beginning in 2011 and adopt this schedule **in statute**.

Estimated Costs (Inflation-adjusted to 2011-12)

Full implementation: \$150.5 million for 2011-12 school year

Fiscal Year 2012 phase-in: \$40.1 million

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION #5

5

The Legislature should increase the **Maintenance, Supplies, and Operating Costs (MSOC)** factors in the prototypical school funding formula

....based on data collected by OSPI about costs incurred by school districts

....with increases **adjusted for inflation** phased in over a **3-year period**

....adopted in statute.

Based on 2007-08	Baseline (FFWG as of 11/19)	Proposed
Technology	\$53.75	\$113.80
Textbooks/Curriculum	\$57.70	\$122.17
Library & Other Supplies	\$122.52	\$259.39
Professional Development	\$8.92	\$18.89
Utilities/Insurance	\$146.05	\$309.21
Central Office/Security	\$50.12	\$106.12
Facilities Maintenance	<u>\$72.35</u>	<u>\$153.18</u>
<i>Per FTE Student</i>	\$511.42	\$1,082.76

Estimated Costs (Inflation-adjusted to 2011-12)

Full implementation: \$619.7 million for 2011-12 school year

Fiscal Year 2012 phase-in: \$165.3 million

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION #6

6

The Legislature should **monitor** outcomes of the new BEST (**B**eginning **E**ducator **S**upport **T**eam) program.

If it proves effective, funds to cover **all first-year teachers** should be phased in beginning in 2011.

Estimated Costs (Inflation-adjusted to 2011-12)

Full implementation: \$31.8 million for 2011-12 (1st, 2nd, 3rd year teachers)

Fiscal Year 2012 phase-in: \$17.3 million (1st year teachers)

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION #7

7

The Legislature should include a **Program of Early Learning for at-risk children aged 3 and 4** as part of the definition of Basic Education.

- ▣ Beginning in 2011, phased in over 3 years
- ▣ Voluntary
- ▣ At-risk = eligible for ECEAP
- ▣ Combined school and community based delivery system with approved providers
- ▣ SPI and DEL oversight and governance
- ▣ Program = ECEAP until there is agreement on alternative

Estimated Costs (Inflation-adjusted to 2011-12)

Full implementation: \$54.4 million 2011-12 beyond current ECEAP/Headstart

Fiscal Year 2012 phase-in: \$8.7 million

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATIONS #8 & 9

8

The Legislature should continue **incremental phase-in** of **full-day kindergarten** according to the statutory schedule (high poverty schools first), with completion in 2018.

Estimated Costs (Inflation-adjusted to 2011-12)

Full implementation: \$181.5 million for 2011-12 school year

Fiscal Year 2012 phase-in: \$20.7 million

The Legislature should prioritize class size reduction in primary grades by providing a **K-3 class size allocation of 15 students per classroom teacher**, phased in over a **5-year period** beginning in 2011, starting with high poverty schools.

Estimated Costs (Inflation-adjusted to 2011-12)

Full implementation: \$645.5 million for 2011-12 school year

Fiscal Year 2012 phase-in: \$103.3 million

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION #10

9

The Legislature should **increase funding allocations** beginning in 2011 to support the **LAP** and **Transitional Bilingual Instruction Program**

....with preliminary numbers based on the Basic Education Finance Task Force

....pending additional input from the Achievement Gap Committee

LAP	Current Baseline	Proposed (Preliminary)
Extended Day	2.14 hours/week, groups of 16	2.0 hours/week, groups of 5
Extended Year	---	10 hours/week, 4 weeks, groups of 10
TBIP	Current Baseline	Proposed (Preliminary)
Assumed	Elem: 20%, groups of 16	Elem: 20%, groups of 8
PullOut Time	Mid/High: 17%, groups of 16	Mid/High: 17%, groups of 8

Estimated Costs (Inflation-adjusted to 2011-12)

Full implementation: \$256.1 million LAP and \$75.4 million Bilingual for 2011-12 school year

Fiscal Year 2012 phase-in: \$204.9 million LAP and \$60.3 million Bilingual

PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION #11

10

The **QEC Workplan for 2010** should focus on the following topics, and result in **recommendations to the 2011 Legislature** for a schedule of phased-in implementation:

- ▣ Increased instructional hours as required under ESHB 2261
- ▣ Opportunity for 24 credits for graduation based on SBE recommendations
- ▣ Increased allocations for critical support staff including librarians, school health, and classified staff
- ▣ Research-based professional development
- ▣ Possible innovations to support student/school health